**Ministry of Justice – Safety Management of Burial Memorials:**

**Suggested approach to managing risks from memorials**

 Operators should adopt a risk-based and proportionate approach to managing memorials. The approach should be integrated into the operator’s overall burial ground management regime that applies a sensible approach to all risks associated with the operation of the burial environment. Memorials are one of a number of relatively low-level risks in the site environment that need careful and sensitive management. Operators should recognise that it is not possible to control all risks in such an environment. An effective, risk-based approach should include the following:

 Knowledge of the different types of memorial currently installed in the burial ground and the likelihood of members of the public visiting or walking past particular memorials.

 An overall assessment of the risks associated with these types of memorials to assist prioritisation of the more detailed inspections.

 An inspection methodology for assessing the risk of each memorial, which might include:

 A visual check for obvious signs that a memorial is likely to be unstable.

 Where a visual check suggests no stability defects, a hand test can help confirm that assessment or identify stability problems – this test involves no tools or equipment and is intended to provide support to the visual checks.

 Arrangements for more detailed inspections of particular memorials where the initial inspection identifies significant risk, for example by a specialist structural engineer or memorial mason.

Occasionally there may be memorials that have serious structural faults but which the operator decides not to remove having regard to their aesthetic or heritage value. Specific assessments for each memorial and specific management measures may be required in these cases.

**Managing the safety of Burial Ground Memorials:**

 To ensure the arrangements are widely understood and properly communicated the operator should also have arrangements that:

 Set out the range of precautions likely to be necessary to manage risks where they are identified.

 Maintain records of the inspection and results, noting in particular those memorials where action is necessary, or likely to be necessary in future.

 Make clear the system for securing specialist assistance or remedial action when a visual check reveals defects outside the experience and knowledge of the person carrying out the check, or where a memorial has historical significance for example.

 Ensure there is a system to enable people to report damage to memorials, such as vehicle collisions, and to trigger checks following potentially damaging activities, e.g. work by the utilities providers in the vicinity of memorials.

 Set out the procedures and timescales used for contacting relatives or other memorial owners where this is necessary.

**Using risk assessment**

A risk assessment provides for a focus on those risks with the potential to cause real harm. In most cases a suitable and sufficient assessment can be completed by an officer of the burial ground operator using experience of burial ground management and the associated risks, and a working knowledge of memorials. Operators may need to provide training to officers or volunteers so they can develop the necessary skills to complete these assessments – including ensuring they have an understanding of the need for a proportionate approach to the assessment.

 Operators can use the simple Five Step approach suggested by the Health and Safety Executive for their risk assessment.

Step 1: **Identify the hazard** – e.g. a potentially unstable memorial.

Step 2: **Identify who might be harmed and how** – these might be employees, contractors, volunteers or visiting members of the public who may be struck by a falling memorial.

Step 3: **Evaluate the risk** of a memorial falling and harming someone, and decide on the precautions needed to control this risk.

Step 4: **Record the significant findings** of the risk assessment and take steps to implement the precautions needed.

Step 5: **Review** the risk assessment periodically to see if anything has changed and update it if necessary.

**Identifying the profile of risks from memorials in the burial ground**

 Risk assessment is an on-going management process. Operators will need to decide for themselves, knowing their circumstances, when to review their risk assessment.

 Operators should use their experience and local knowledge to focus on those memorials most likely to present the greatest risk. Operators should consider local factors, the design and style of memorial as well as environmental and historical factors. The following are some of the considerations operators should take into account to focus and prioritise the inspection and assessment process:

 Memorials alongside or within a short distance of paths, are more likely to present a risk to visitors than those which are less accessible. Memorials of well-known people, or memorials widely appreciated for their architecture or aesthetic qualities are also more likely to attract visitors. Less frequented areas may attract anti-social behaviour, or may need greater maintenance as relatives are no longer tending memorials.

 Memorials situated on sloping or uneven ground, may present an increased risk.

 Multi-part memorials, which depend on bonding of their parts for stability, can present a risk where the joint has failed. Some memorials might also be made of material which more readily erodes and becomes unsafe. It may therefore be appropriate to examine these memorials in advance of others. On the other hand the risk of joint failure is absent in monolithic monuments, and memorials with a broad base are less likely to fall than those with a relatively narrow base.

 More recent memorials should be designed to British Standard 8415, and the construction, dowels and fixings should be in accordance with the National Association of Memorial Masons (NAMM) Code of Working Practice. Memorials installed to these practices have greater assurance of good stability.

 Operators need to build up a profile of the memorials in their burial grounds. They are best placed to do this. It is an essential first step to enable prioritisation of and provide a focus for the inspection and assessment process.

**Inspection of memorials**

 A visual inspection should be the next step in assessing the risk of a memorial falling. It takes little time, uses simple common sense and judgment, and yet acts as an effective early warning system to help operators prioritise memorials that need more detailed inspection. Problems to look out for include:

 Damaged or eroding bonding.

 Movement of parts of a memorial from its original position.

 Managing the safety of Burial Ground Memorials

 Kerb stones breaking apart.

 Undermined or unstable foundations.

 Leaning memorials – particular if there is evidence of recent movement.

 Evidence of structural damage or disturbance (e.g. cracks).

 The presence of vegetation, which may cause cracks etc to widen

 The profile of memorial types in the burial ground, together with the visual inspection will help determine those memorials that require a hand test, and how to prioritise those hand tests:

 Some memorials will require specialist assessment, and the location and frequency of visitors to these locations will help determine the timing of that specialist inspection. It is not normally appropriate to use a hand test to confirm stability of these memorials.

 Where memorials (that do not require a specialist assessment) have visible signs of damage or defects such as joint or component failure, a hand test should be used to determine stability of the memorial and can save on unnecessary work. The location and frequency of visitors to these memorials will help determine the timing of the hand test e.g. more frequently visited parts of the burial ground should be prioritised for an early hand test. This can often be done straight away at the time of the visual inspection.

 Where memorials (that do not require a specialist assessment) have no sign of defects, a hand test may not be necessary but can still be used to confirm that the memorial is stable. These tests should be treated as a lower priority to the hand tests of memorials that have signs of instability – though they may, of course, be undertaken at the same time as the visual inspection. Once again it makes sense to do the hand test of memorials in locations that are more frequently visited as a higher priority than the memorial in less frequented locations.

 The hand check can be carried out by standing to one side of the memorial and applying a firm but steady pressure in different directions to determine to what degree if any the headstone is loose.

 If some instability is detected following the hand test, a judgment must be made as to whether this movement is limited, or whether there is sufficient movement for the memorial to present a high risk to people’s health and safety. Operators should note that many memorials installed in recent years on independent foundations are fitted with a ground support system. These memorials may move, even rock if the base to foundation joint is broken, but do so within designed tolerance limits and represent no danger as the memorial will lock on the ground anchor.

 Visual and hand checks can be carried out by a person with a working knowledge of memorials and their defects, and/or good knowledge of the memorials in any burial ground, but those inspecting memorials need to be mindful of, and consider, the risks to their own health and safety. Hand testing is appropriate for many memorials, such as the modern, lawn type, as well as smaller stepped designs or tiered crosses. Much larger, heavier memorials, such as older columns or obelisk types, may require an assessment by a specialist engineer or competent memorial mason.

 The routine use of mechanical test instruments as inspection tools is not recommended. Results from these instruments are liable to overestimate the actual risk. The approach suggested above will enable the operator to assess the memorials in their grounds as either secure and stable or insecure and requiring action, and a mechanical test will not add to this judgment. However, where this judgment is not so clear, the operator may need to consult a specialist engineer or memorial mason for advice.

**Deciding on precautions**

 Any precautions taken must be proportionate to the risk of people suffering harm. In most cases the actual level of risk from an unstable memorial will be very low such that a warning sign near to – or in some instances on – a memorial alerting visitors to the potential danger will suffice until repair has been arranged. If the circumstances make this impractical, for example there is the potential for confusion as to which memorial any warning refers, a memorial may need to be cordoned off until it is made safe.

 A memorial may be so unstable there is an imminent risk of it toppling. In a very few cases where this could result in serious injury, immediate steps may be necessary to reduce the risk e.g. restricting access or laying the memorial flat. The routine staking of memorials is not recommended – not only is there a risk of harm in the staking itself, there is also the potential for damage to the memorial.

 In all cases where temporary measures have been taken to make a memorial safe, steps to effect permanent repairs should be taken as soon as possible. Operators should be aware of the potential for upset and distress amongst mourners and the bereaved, as well as the potential for disfiguring the appearance of a burial ground.

Arrangements can also be put in place to encourage employees such as gardeners and other maintenance,

workers, as well as volunteers and members of the public, to report any memorials which they believe may

be unstable.

If a lot of improvements are needed, an action plan may be necessary. A good action plan will include, for example, prioritisation of improvements to manage the greatest risks, as well as longer term measures for those memorials with structural faults which may need more frequent monitoring than the rest of the burial ground.

 The record of the risk assessment should be kept simple. For example, it should note any prioritisation; a record of those memorials that were judged at high risk of collapse and the precautions taken/planned; and the timescale for when the assessment/inspection process will be repeated.

 Operators need to consider keeping records to show that:

 Proper checks were made.

 Those who might be affected were identified.

 Significant risks will be dealt with, taking account of the number of people who might be exposed, and the likelihood of the risk.

 Any precautions are reasonable, and the remaining risk is acceptable.

 **Notes**:

 This guidance sets out a risk-based and sensible approach to managing the health and safety risks arising from memorials. By following the simple procedures for inspecting, assessing and where necessary making safe, operators will be demonstrating that they are doing what they can to minimise the risks to health and safety in a proportionate, sensible and sensitive way.